

E-filing

**FILED**

NOV 23 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYNOPSIS, INC.,

No. C 03-2289 MJJ

No. C 03-4669 MJJ

Plaintiff.

v.

**ORDER**

RICOH CO., LTD.

Defendant.

RICOH CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.


AEROFLEX INC., et al.,

Defendants.

On October 21, 2004, the parties jointly submitted a list of ten terms, phrases, and/or clauses for the Court's construction. Given that the ten terms selected for construction are not identical to the terms previously briefed by the parties, the Court hereby orders that each party submit to the Court a proposed definition for each of the ten terms. The parties should also clarify for the Court the source within the intrinsic record that supports their proposed construction (i.e. col. 2:32-40).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: November 23, 2004

  
MARTIN J. JENKINS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE